

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	·		w <sup>1</sup>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,076	09/07/2000	Gregory C. Andrews	14374.36	9260
75	90 01/18/2002			
Eric L Maschoff Workman Nydegger & Seeley 1000 Eagle Gate Tower			EXAMINER	
			HOBDEN, PAMELA R	
60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. • • •			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002	#6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

st Available Copy						
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/656,076	ANDREWS, GREGORY C.			
<b>Office</b> i	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pamela R. Hobden	2882			
The MARLIF	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) Machine SIX (6) Machine SIX (7) Machine SIX (8)  - If NO period for receive six in the Any reply receive six in t	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Nay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed so from the mailing date of this communication.  It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  It is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is not be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  It is the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
<b>1)</b> ⊠ Resportiv	e to communication(s) filed on 07 S	<u>September 2000</u> .				
<b>2a) This</b> ac in	n is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)□ Since to see closed a	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of C'	ns					
4) Claim(s` 1-	1-41 is/are pending in the application.					
<b>4a) Of</b> the ca	ಚbove claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<b>5)</b>	is/are allowed.					
<b>6)⊠ Cla</b> im(ε	- <u>41</u> is/are rejected.					
<b>7) Cla</b> im(ε	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s						
Application Pap						
9) <b>⊡ The s</b> p∈	ation is objected to by the Examine	r.				
<b>10)⊠ The d</b> ra√	(s) filed on <u>07 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applic: ta	0 27 CED 4.05(a)					
11)☐ The pror	11) The pror ed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
<b>If ap</b> pro	and the state of t					
<b>12) The o</b> atl	declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 3	S.C. <b>§§ 119 and 120</b>					
<b>13) Ackn</b> ov	gment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)∭ All t	Some * c) None of:					
<b>1.</b> □ C .:	fied copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<b>2.</b> □ C	fied copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	es of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage pplication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). thed detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	ment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) 🗍 The .	Installing of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  ment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
15) Acknowled	ment is made of a dialiff for dofflest	io priority aridor oo o.o.o. 33 12	<u> </u>			
1) Notice of Reference 2) Notice of Draft	es Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Di	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	6)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark O" PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/656,076

Art Unit: 2882

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-41 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No.09/351,579. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because An x-ray tube comprising: a cathode cylinder having an electron source, a housing having an anode with a target surface capable of receiving electrons emitted by the electron source; a shield structure positioned between the cathode cylinder and the x-ray tube housing, the shield structure having an aperture formed therein through which the electrons are passed from the electron source to the target surface; and at least one fluid passageway disposed proximate to the shield structure.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,076

Art Unit: 2882

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,115,454. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the addition of means to facilitate nucleate boiling of the coolant in at least one fluid passageway are known to one skilled in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Hobden whose telephone number is (703)-306-5435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703)-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-7382 for regular communications and (703)-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,076

**Art Unit**: 2882

prh December 17, 2001

Page 4

David P. Porta Primary Examiner